(1)

3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 3269 **/2003**

PETITIONER

Ravindra Singh son of Shri Hukum Singh, aged about 46 years by caste- Gond, occupation Teacher (in Government Primary Shala Hathpatkapara) Pawanpur, Block Ramanujnagar, Tah. Surajpur, Distt. Surguja (CG)

P. R. No. 31/12/03
Presented by Shri Ravi Singh
dated 09/10/03

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through: Secretary, Department of School Education, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Mantralay, Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY.
9.10.
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

2. Sanchalak (Director), Lok Shikshan Department, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

3. The Collector, District Surguja (Chhattisgarh)

4. District Education Officer, District Surguja (C.G.)

शपथ आयुक्त
एम. के. शुक्ला
बिलासपुर तहसील सेक्ट

5. The Head Master, Primary Shala Hathpatkapara, Block Ramanujnagar, District – Surguja (Chhattisgarh)

~~(2)~~
(4)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS/CERTIORARI, ORDER/ORDERS, DIRECTION / DIRECTIONS PROHIBITION ETC.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(12)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.3269 सन् 2003

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	24.11.2003 Shri Sushil Dubey, counsel for the petitioner. Ms. Sharmila Singhai, Dy. Govt. Adv. for the State. By this writ petition under Article 226 / 227 of Constitution of India the petitioner has challenged the order dated 30.08.2003 by which the petitioner who is working as Assistant Teacher in Primary School, Hathpatkapara, Pawanpur, Block Ramanujnagar has been transferred from Hathpatkapara to Dantewada. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an Assistant Teacher and his seniority is maintained district-wise, therefore, the petitioner cannot be transferred out of the district. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the transfers which were made on administrative exigency the seniority is not affected. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation within a period of 10 days from today raising all his grievances to respondent no.2 and in turn respondent no.2 shall consider the representation of the petitioner	

[पीछे देखिये

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(18)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

in an objective manner and pass an appropriate reasoned order after considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner within another thirty days. In the meantime, if the petitioner is already not relieved from the post, he should not be relieved till the decision of the representation.

With the above direction, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.W.P. No.2983/2003 & I.A. No.9605/2003 also stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
L.C.BHADOO
Judge

[पीछे देखिये